

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

January 29, 2024

The Honorable Katherine Tai
Ambassador
Office of the United States Trade Representative
600 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20508

The Honorable Lina Khan
Chair
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

The Honorable Jonathan Kanter
Assistant Attorney General
Antitrust Division
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Ambassador Tai, Chair Khan and Assistant Attorney General Kanter:

We write to express concern and disappointment with the U.S. Trade Representative Ambassador Tai's recent decision to abandon support for proposed World Trade Organization (WTO) digital trade principles. It is shocking that USTR's political leadership has chosen to retract its backing for long-standing, bipartisan core trade values that safeguard against compelled technology transfers, data localization, source code disclosure and further discriminatory trade practices.

Digital trade is a cornerstone of American economic prosperity, fostering innovation, job creation, and global competitiveness. According to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, just over half of all U.S. service exports were attributed to digital trade in 2022.¹ Proposals focused on digital trade serve as a facilitator for international collaboration concerning cybersecurity and the detection of fraudulent activities. Furthermore, data movements augment the capacity of allies to collaborate effectively, ensuring that AI systems receive training from a wide range of demographic and geographic datasets.

Information movement and regulations in the digital realm also remain instrumental for small enterprises to utilize internet and cloud services in connecting with customers globally, conducting sales, and efficiently managing diversified supply chains. A document from USTR² highlighted numerous industries reliant on data flows, which encompass sectors like mining, automotive manufacturing, aviation, accounting, medical diagnostics, security services, healthcare, research, and agriculture. Strong digital service providers and rules to support them are critical to ensuring the strong and resilient supply chains the Biden Administration has stated are a priority.

Consequently, the decision to step back from negotiations not only undermines the competitive edge of U.S. companies and small businesses, but also relinquishes leadership to strategic competitors who remain actively engaged in ongoing digital trade discussions. Authoritarian states like China aim to wield control over the internet and its informational access, while stringent regulators in the European Union limit the free enterprise of U.S. firms. The decision also establishes a troubling precedent that could extend well beyond digital trade

¹ <https://unctad.org/news/digitally-deliverable-services-boom-risks-leaving-least-developed-countries-behind>

² "Conference on the Economic Benefits of Cross-Border Data Flows" held June 17, 2019, and Communication by the United States, [SC/C/W/382](#).

priorities, impacting the enforcement of the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) and potentially paving the way for the USTR to backtrack on advancing American trade interests in other domains.

This decision will have detrimental consequences for American businesses, workers, and consumers by allowing for the expansion of protectionist and authoritarian views on digital trade. We respectfully request transparency and consultation with Congress regarding the reasons behind this change in stance and appreciate further clarity about USTR's strategy moving forward. **We ask that each of you provide us with the following information by February 16, 2024:**

1. About 97 percent of the American companies that export are small and medium-sized businesses. Regardless of whether these exports are digital or physical goods and services, the vast majority have a digital footprint in the countries in which they do business. Thus, they rely heavily on pushing back against anti-competitive policies. Small businesses are sounding the alarm that withdrawal from digital trade priorities will harm them more than their larger counterpart market incumbents.
 - If the Biden Administration does not reconsider Ambassador Tai's decision to drop digital trade priorities in WTO talks, how will USTR and other participants in an interagency process account for American small businesses' interest in upholding long-standing digital trade priorities?
2. According to the Department of Commerce,³ "data flows account for at least 2.4 million U.S. jobs."
 - How does Ambassador Tai's decision to reverse longstanding digital policy help to keep and create American jobs? How does allowing countries of concern to block data flows, demand proprietary source code, localize data, and discriminate against US-based technologies support job creation?
3. A wide range of groups across the political spectrum have condemned USTR's decision. Freedom House said that removing these digital trade rules will "fragment the internet, embolden authoritarian governments, and violate rights around the world."⁴ Center for a New American Security said that the U.S. was "needlessly gutting its own position on key digital trade provisions."⁵ New America said that you "just handed a victory to China on digital sovereignty."⁶
 - How did the Biden Administration consider the consequences of this decision on internet freedom and national security?
4. The National Security Council disagreed with Ambassador Tai's decision. The State Department said they were not informed and instead "read about USTR's decision in the press."⁷

³ Office of Digital Services Industries, International Trade Administration of U.S. Department of Commerce, <https://www.trade.gov/about-us/office-digital-services-industries>

⁴ Brody, Jennifer, *Reversal of US Trade Policy Threatens the Free and Open Internet*. Tech Policy Press. 15, November 2023. <https://techpolicy.press/reversal-of-us-trade-policy-threatens-the-free-and-open-internet/>

⁵ Emily Kilcrease & Jacob Stokes, "Senior Fellow responds: APEC summit." Center for a New American Security, <https://www.cnas.org/press/press-note/cnas-responds-apec-summit>


⁶ Cory, Nigel. "China Gains as U.S. Abandons Digital Policy Negotiations." Lawfare, 15 Nov. 2023, www.lawfaremedia.org/article/china-gains-as-u.s.-abandons-digital-policy-negotiations.

⁷ U.S. Leadership on Artificial Intelligence in an Era of Strategic Competition, 118th Cong. (2023) (Testimony of Dr. Matthew Graviss)..


- Were foreign governments, including China, aware of this decision before other parts of the U.S. government or Congress?
 - Is it appropriate for USTR, DOJ, and FTC to conduct backroom deals on foreign policy without informing Congress?
 - Did other parts of the Department of Justice, including the National Security Division and the Criminal Division, agree with the Antitrust Division's efforts to deprioritize United States interests in digital trade?
5. Earlier this year, USTR considered issuing a federal register notice to investigate the impact of EU regulations on China, including areas where EU regulations could require American data and IP to be transferred to China.
- Given the detrimental and discriminatory impact of EU regulations of American economic growth and innovation, when will USTR issue this federal register notice?
 - Did DOJ or FTC communicate with USTR in any way about this federal register notice?
 - Did either FTC or DOJ encourage, or attempt to dissuade, USTR in issuing this federal register notice?

Further clarity and transparency on these critical issues hold significant implications for American jobs and national security. We look forward to your response.


Sincerely,




Jeff Duncan
Member of Congress




John Joyce, M.D.
Member of Congress



Gus M. Bilirakis
Member of Congress



Adrian Smith
Member of Congress



Kevin Hern
Member of Congress



Robert E. Latta
Member of Congress



Mike Kelly
Member of Congress



Brett Guthrie
Member of Congress



Ron Estes
Member of Congress



Jodey C. Arrington
Member of Congress



H. Morgan Griffith
Member of Congress



Richard Hudson
Member of Congress



Tim Walberg
Member of Congress




Earl L. "Buddy" Carter
Member of Congress



Gary J. Palmer
Member of Congress




Charles J. "Chuck" Fleischmann
Member of Congress




Larry Bucshon, M.D.
Member of Congress



Russ Fulcher
Member of Congress




Brian Fitzpatrick
Member of Congress



Neal P. Dunn, M.D.
Member of Congress



Greg Pence
Member of Congress




Dan Crenshaw
Member of Congress




Barry Moore
Member of Congress




August Pfluger
Member of Congress




Troy Balderson
Member of Congress




Jay Obernolte
Member of Congress




Kelly Armstrong
Member of Congress



W. Gregory Steube
Member of Congress



Michelle Steel
Member of Congress



Mariannette Miller-Meeks, M.D.
Member of Congress




Dusty Johnson
Member of Congress




Beth Van Duyne
Member of Congress



Diana Harshbarger
Member of Congress



John R. Curtis
Member of Congress




Randy K. Weber, Sr.
Member of Congress



Rick W. Allen
Member of Congress



Mike Carey
Member of Congress



Kat Cammack
Member of Congress




Robert J. Wittman
Member of Congress



Michael R. Turner
Member of Congress



Michael Waltz
Member of Congress




Russell Fry
Member of Congress



Brian Babin
Member of Congress



Ben Cline
Member of Congress




William R. Timmons, IV
Member of Congress




Darrell Issa
Member of Congress



Ashley Hinson
Member of Congress



Ralph Norman
Member of Congress



Austin Scott
Member of Congress



Zach Nunn
Member of Congress